

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/070,289 | 07/15/2002 | Mengjun Cao | 13935.1USWO | 4609 |
| 23552 | 7590 03/10/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 | | | JACKSON, SUZ | ZETTE JAMIE |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3738 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/070,289 | CAO, MENGJUN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jackson J Suzette | 3738 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | | • | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F | ebruary 2002. | | | | | |
| 2 4) | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 is/are withdrawn for the state of the above claim(s) 14 is/are withdrawn for the state of t | from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examino | | Evaminer | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion Noved in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | A) [] Intentional Commen | rv (PTO-413) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail S) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/070,289

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. It has been noted that the term <u>Polyacrylamide</u> has been spelled "POTYACRYLAMIDE" on the Declaration. Appropriate corrections should be made.

Claim Objections

- 2. The claims are objected to because they include reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses. Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).
- Claim 14 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim14 not been further treated on the merits.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The wording "they touch" on page 1, line 11 and "It touches good" in line 13 does not make grammatical sense.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,289

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 7 recites the limitation "each 100ml..." and "the weight".... There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-3, 6-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Purkait EP 0784987 A2. Purkait discloses the invention as claimed comprising: A mammary prosthesis with a round curved shell made of silicon (see fig. 1, col.3, line 31); polyacrylamide hydrogel filling (col. 3,line 47); including a acylamide; a cross-linking agent; cataylst and

Application/Control Number: 10/070,289

Art Unit: 3738

accelerator; N,N' –methylenebisacrylamide; (col. 7, lines 35-58, col. 8, lines 1-3); ammonium persulfate (col. 8, lines 15-16). However Purkait does not specify the percentages of the chemical ingredients. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make the prosthesis with the claimed percentages of chemicals, because applicant has not disclosed that utilizing these specific percentages of chemical reactants provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solve a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the combination as taught by Purkait because both applicant and Purkait disclose the same chemical ingredients and accordingly is deemed to be a design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over Purkait.

10. Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Purkait EP 0784987A2 in view of Purkait 5,941,909 and further in view of Pinchuk et al. 5,376,117. Purkait EP 0784987 has been disclosed above however Purkait does not specify the use of sodium bisulphate as an accelerator or ethylenediamine. Purkait 5,941,909 teaches the use of sodium bisulphate (see col. 9, line 42). Pinchuk et al. teaches the use of ethylenediamine. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize sodium bisulphate as taught by '909 or the ethylenediamine as taught by '117 with the invention of EP '987 because both teach mammary implants that are filled with cross-linked polyacrylamide gel and are well known for there use in polymer fillings for breast implants.

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 11. disclosure. Taylor 4,657,553; Rhee et al. 6,051,648 and Ward et al. 6,692,528 show related material.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 12. examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 703-308-6516.
- The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is 13. assigned are 703-872-9306.
- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding 14. should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

08 March 8, 2004